

POC2048

Research Methods in International Relations

View Online



-
1.

Kurki, M, Wright, C: International Relations and Social Science [IN] International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity. In: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2006).
 2.

Lamont, C.: Chapter 1 Research Methods in International relations [IN] Research methods in international relations. In: Research methods in international relations. pp. 13-29. SAGE, London (2015).
 3.

Kurki, M.: Chapter 1 [IN] Causation in international relations: reclaiming causal analysis. In: Causation in International Relations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491481>.
 4.

Jackson, P.T.: The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics. Routledge, London (2011).
 5.

Lebow, R.N.: Constructing Cause in International Relations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107256538>.
 - 6.

Klotz, A., Prakash, D.: *Qualitative methods in international relations: a pluralist guide*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke [England] (2008).

7.

Hollis, M., Smith, S.M.: *Explaining and understanding international relations*. Clarendon, Oxford (1990).

8.

Little, R.: International Relations and the Methodological Turn. *Political Studies*. 39, 463–478 (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1991.tb01623.x>.

9.

Grix, Jonathan: Introducing Students to the Generic Terminology of Social Research. *Politics*. 22, (2002).

10.

Bonditti, P., Neal, A., Opitz, S., Zebrowski, C.: Genealogy [IN] Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis. In: *Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis*. pp. 159–188. Routledge, London (2015).

11.

Aradau, Claudia Rens van Munster: Governing Terrorism Through Risk: Taking Precautions, (un)Knowing the Future. *European Journal of International Relations*. 13, 89–115.

12.

Moon, C.: Narrating Political Reconciliation: Truth and Reconciliation in South Africa. *Social & Legal Studies*. (2006).

13.

ANDREW W. NEAL: Foucault in Guantánamo: Towards an Archaeology of the Exception. *Security Dialogue*. 37, 31–46 (2006).

14.

VUCETIC, S.: Genealogy as a research tool in International Relations. Review of International Studies. 37, 1295–1312 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210510000938>.

15.

Howarth, D.R.: Discourse. Open University Press, Buckingham (2000).

16.

Milliken, Jennifer: The Study of Discourse in International Relations: A Critique of Research and Methods. European Journal of International Relations. 5,.

17.

Aradau, Claudia: Genealogy [IN] Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis. In: Full details and actions for Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis. Routledge (2014).

18.

Aradau, Claudia: Visuality [IN] Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis. In: Full details and actions for Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis. Routledge (2014).

19.

Dean, M.: Governmentality: power and rule in modern society. SAGE, Los Angeles, Calif (2010).

20.

Aradau, Claudia; Rens van Munster: Governing Terrorism Through Risk: Taking Precautions, (un)Knowing the Future. European Journal of International Relations. 13, 89–115.

21.

Jeremy Walker; Melinda Cooper: Genealogies of resilience: From systems ecology to the political economy of crisis adaptation. *Security*. 42, 143–160 (2011).

22.

Iraq Inquiry - Sir John Chilcot's public statement, 6 July 2016,
<https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20171123124608/http://www.iraqinquiry.org.uk/the-inquiry/sir-john-chilcots-public-statement/>.

23.

Tony Blair statement in response to The Report of the Iraq Inquiry,
<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/chilcot-report-tony-blair-read-response-statement-in-full-iraq-war-inquiry-a7123251.html>.

24.

Anderson, B.: Preemption, precaution, preparedness: Anticipatory action and future geographies. *Progress in Human Geography*. 34, 777–798 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132510362600>.

25.

Blix, H.: *Disarming Iraq*. Bloomsbury Publishing, London (2005).

26.

Farson, A.S., Phythian, M.: Chapter 4 The Politics of Commissions of Inquiry into Security and Intelligence Controversies in Britain [IN] *Commissions of Inquiry and National Security*. In: *Commissions of inquiry and national security: comparative approaches*. Praeger Security International, Santa Barbara, Calif (2011).

27.

Phythian, Mark: Policing Uncertainty: Intelligence, Security and Risk. *Intelligence & National Security*. 27, 187–205 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02684527.2012.661642>.

28.

Ralph, Jason: After Chilcot: the 'doctrine of international community' and the UK decision to invade Iraq. *Journal of Politics & International Relations*. 13, (2011).

29.

Thomas, O.D.: Good faith and (dis)honest mistakes? Learning from Britain's Iraq War Inquiry. *Politics*. 37, 371-385 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263395716688488>.

30.

Zalewski, M.: Feminist International Relations: Making sense ... [IN] Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. In: *Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations*. pp. 3-13. Taylor & Francis, New York (2014).

31.

J. Ann Tickner: You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International Studies Quarterly*. 41, (1997).

32.

Enloe, C.: *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. University of California Press, Berkeley (2014).

33.

Hutchings, Kimberly: 1988 and 1998: Contrast and continuity in feminist international relations. *MILLENNIUM-JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES*. (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829808093732>.

34.

Zalewski, M., Parpart, J.L.: 'Introduction: From the "Woman" Question to the "Man"'

Question in International Relations' [IN] The "Man" Question in International Relations. In: The 'man' question in international relations. Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado (1998).

35.

Adam Jones: Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 22, 405–429 (1996).

36.

Peterson, V.S.: *Gendered states: feminist (re)visions of international relations theory*. Lynne Rienner, Boulder, Colo (1992).

37.

V. Spike Peterson: *Global gender issues*. Westview Press, Boulder, CO (1999).

38.

Jan Jindy Pettman: *Worlding Women : A Feminist International Politics*. Routledge (1996).

39.

Riley, R.L., Mohanty, C.T., Pratt, M.B.: *Feminism and war: confronting US imperialism*. Zed, London (2008).

40.

Zalewski, M.: 'Well, what is the feminist perspective on Bosnia?' *International Affairs*. 71, 339–356 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2623438>.

41.

Terrell Carver, Molly Cochran and Judith Squires: *Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities*. *Review of International Studies*. 24, (1998).

42.

Hansen, L.: Ontologies, Epistemologies, Methodologies [IN] Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. In: Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. pp. 14-23. Taylor & Francis, New York (2014).

43.

Hansen, L.: Gender, Nation, Rape: Bosnia and the Construction of Security. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*. 3, 55-75 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616740010019848>.

44.

Ackerly, B.A., Stern, M., True, J.: *Feminist methodologies for international relations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (2006).

45.

Enloe, C.: III 'Gender' is not enough: the need for a feminist consciousness. *International Affairs*. 80, 95-97 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2004.00370.x>.

46.

Letherby, G.: *Feminist research in theory and practice*. Open University Press, Buckingham (2003).

47.

Review by: R. Charli Carpenter: Review: Gender Theory in World Politics: Contributions of a Nonfeminist Standpoint? *International Studies Review*. 4, (2002).

48.

Ribbens McCarthy, J., Edwards, R.: *Feminist dilemmas in qualitative research: public knowledge and private lives*. SAGE, London (1998).

49.

Jones, A., Taylor & Francis: Gender inclusive: essays on violence, men, and feminist international relations. Routledge, London (2009).

50.

Zalewski, Marysia.: Feminism after postmodernism : theorising through practice. London : Routledge, 2000.

51.

Blanchard, E.M.: Gender, International Relations, and the Development of Feminist Security Theory. Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society. 28, 1289–1312 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1086/368328>.

52.

Koo, KL: Confronting a disciplinary blindness: Women, war and rape in the international politics of security. AUSTRALIAN JOURNAL OF POLITICAL SCIENCE; (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1036114022000032744>.

53.

Rowley, C.: Popular culture and the politics of the visual [IN] Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. In: Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. Taylor & Francis, New York (2014).

54.

Bleiker, R.: The Power of Images in Global Politics, <https://www.e-ir.info/2018/03/08/the-power-of-images-in-global-politics/>.

55.

ALEX DANCHEV and DEBBIE LISLE: Introduction: art, politics, purpose. Review of International Studies. 35, (2009).

56.

Moore, Cerwyn; Shepherd, Laura J.: Aesthetics and International Relations: Towards a Global Politics. *Global Society: Journal of Interdisciplinary International Relations*. 24, 299–309 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600826.2010.485564>.

57.

Schlag, G., Geis, A.: Visualizing violence: aesthetics and ethics in international politics. *Global Discourse*. 7, 193–200 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23269995.2017.1359995>.

58.

FRANK MÖLLER: The looking/not looking dilemma. *Review of International Studies*. 35, (2009).

59.

Butler, Judith: Torture and the ethics of photography. *ENVIRONMENT AND PLANNING D-SOCIETY &*. (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1068/d2506jb>.

60.

Mirzoeff, N.: Introduction: For Critical Visuality Studies [IN] *The Visual Culture Reader*. In: *The visual culture reader*. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon [England] (2013).

61.

Weldes, J., Rowley, C.: So, how does popular culture relate to world politics? [IN] *Popular Culture and World Politics: Theories, Methods, Pedagogies*. In: *Popular Culture and World Politics: Theories, Methods, Pedagogies*. pp. 11–34. E-International Relations Publishing, Bristol (2015).

62.

Paul Messaris: *Visual 'literacy'*. Westview Press, Boulder (1994).

63.

Haraway, D: The persistence of vision [IN] The Visual Culture Reader. In: The visual culture reader. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon [England] (2013).

64.

Jean Baudrillard: Photography, Or The Writing Of Light. CTheory. 4-12/2000 (2000).

65.

Ranciere, J: Notes on the photographic image [IN] The Visual Culture Reader. In: The visual culture reader. Routledge, Abingdon, Oxon [England] (2013).

66.

Metz, C.: Photography and Fetish. October. 34, (1985). <https://doi.org/10.2307/778490>.

67.

Bleiker, R.: Pluralist Methods for Visual Global Politics. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 43, 872-890 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829815583084>.

68.

Review of International Studies. 35, (2009).

69.

Vicki Squire: 12 days in Lampedusa: The potential and perils of a photo essay
@FocaalBlog,
<http://www.focaalblog.com/2016/01/11/vicki-squire-12-days-in-lampedusa-the-potential-and-perils-of-a-photo-essay/>.

70.

Lisle, D., Johnson, H.L.: Lost in the aftermath. Security Dialogue. (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010618762271>.

71.

Rose, G., Tolia-Kelly, D.P.: *Visuality/materiality: images, objects and practices*. Ashgate, Farnham (2012).

72.

Rose, G.: *Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials*. SAGE, Los Angeles (2016).

73.

Latham, Alan: Research, performance, and doing human geography: some reflections on the diary-photograph, diary-interview method. *Environment & Planning A*. 35, 1993–2017 (2003).

74.

HANSEN, L.: How images make world politics: International icons and the case of Abu Ghraib. *Review of International Studies*. 41, 263–288 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210514000199>.

75.

Hansen, Lene: Reading Comics for the Field of International Relations: Theory, Method and the Bosnian War. *European Journal of International Relations*, . 581–608 (2017).

76.

Callahan, W.A.: The Visual Turn in IR: Documentary Filmmaking as a Critical Method. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 43, 891–910 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829815578767>.

77.

Campbell, D.: Geopolitics and visibility: Sighting the Darfur conflict. *Political Geography*. 26, 357–382 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2006.11.005>.

78.

Michael J. Shapiro: Cinematic Geopolitics. Taylor & Francis Group (2008).

79.

Shepherd, Laura J.: Aesthetics, Ethics, and Visual Research in the Digital Age: "Undone in the Face of the Otter". MILLENNIUM-JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES; (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829816684255>.

80.

Smith, L.T.: Introduction [IN] Decolonizing methodologies: research and indigenous peoples. In: Decolonizing methodologies: research and indigenous peoples. pp. 30-56. Zed Books, London (2012).

81.

Smith, L.T.: Chapter 12 Getting the Story Right, Telling the Story Well: Indigenous Activism, Indigenous Research [IN] Decolonizing methodologies: research and indigenous peoples. In: Decolonizing methodologies: research and indigenous peoples. pp. 343-359. Zed Books, London (2012).

82.

Sayad, A., Bourdieu, P., Macey, D.: The suffering of the immigrant. Polity, Cambridge, England (2004).

83.

Mamdani, M.: Define and Rule: Native as Political Identity. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (2012).

84.

Gupta, A., Ferguson, J.: The Field as Site, Method, and Location in Anthropology [IN] Anthropological locations: boundaries and grounds of a field science. In: Anthropological locations: boundaries and grounds of a field science. University of California Press,

Berkeley, Calif (1997).

85.

Bhambra, Gurminder K.: Brexit, Trump, and "methodological whiteness": on the misrecognition of race and class. BRITISH JOURNAL OF SOCIOLOGY; (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12317>.

86.

Borneman, J., Hammoudi, A.: The Fieldwork Encounter, Experience, and the Making of Truth: An Introduction [IN] Being there: the fieldwork encounter and the making of truth. In: Being There: the Fieldwork Encounter and the Making of Truth. University of California Press, Berkeley (2009).

87.

Kirin Narayan: How Native Is a 'Native' Anthropologist? American Anthropologist. 95, (1993).

88.

A Passage to Britain - BBC, <https://learningonscreen.ac.uk/ondemand/playlists/183729>.

89.

Said, E.W.: Orientalism. Penguin, Harmondsworth (2003).

90.

Said, E.W.: Culture and imperialism. Vintage, London (1994).

91.

Fanon, F.: Black skin, white masks. Pluto Press, London (2008).

92.

Spivak, G.C.: *In other worlds: essays in cultural politics*. Routledge, New York (1988).

93.

Bhabha, H.K.: *The location of culture*. Routledge, London (2004).

94.

Young, R.: *Postcolonialism: an historical introduction*. Blackwell, Oxford (2001).

95.

Lamont, C.: Chapter 4 Writing a Literature Review [IN] *Research methods in international relations*. In: *Research methods in international relations*. pp. 64–76. SAGE, London (2015).

96.

Ridley, D.: *The literature review: a step-by-step guide for students*. SAGE, London (2012).

97.

Hart, C.: *Doing a literature review: releasing the research imagination*. SAGE, Los Angeles (2018).

98.

Andrews, R.: *Research questions*. Continuum, London (2003).

99.

Hancke, Bob: Chapter 11: The challenge of research design [IN] *Theory and Methods in Political Science*. In: *Theory and methods in political science*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2010).

100.

Benjamin Baez: Confidentiality in qualitative research: reflections on secrets, power and agency. *Qualitative Research*. 2, 35–58 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794102002001638>.

101.

Bulmer, M.: *Social research ethics: an examination of the merits of covert participant observation*. Macmillan, London (1982).

102.

Etherington, K.: Ethical Research in Reflexive Relationships. *Qualitative Inquiry*. 13, 599–616 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800407301175>.

103.

Hobbs, D., Wright, R.: *The SAGE handbook of fieldwork*. SAGE, London (2006).

104.

Homan, R.: The Ethics of Open Methods. *The British Journal of Sociology*. 43, (1992).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/591538>.

105.

Lamont, C.: Chapter 2 Research questions and Research Design [IN] *Research methods in international relations*. In: *Research methods in international relations*. pp. 30–48. SAGE, London (2015).

106.

Halperin, S., Heath, O.: *Political research: methods and practical skills*. Oxford University Press, New York, NY (2017).

107.

Marsh, D., Stoker, G.: Theory and methods in political science. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2010).

108.

Introduction and Chapter 1 [IN] Research Methods in Politics. In: Research methods in politics. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2008).