

POC2048

Research Methods in International Relations

View Online



[1]

Ackerly, B.A. et al. 2006. *Feminist methodologies for international relations*. Cambridge University Press.

[2]

Adam Jones 1996. Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? *Feminist Critiques of International Relations*. *Review of International Studies*. 22, 4 (1996), 405–429.

[3]

ALEX DANCHEV and DEBBIE LISLE 2009. Introduction: art, politics, purpose. *Review of International Studies*. 35, 4 (2009).

[4]

Anderson, B. 2010. Preemption, precaution, preparedness: Anticipatory action and future geographies. *Progress in Human Geography*. 34, 6 (Dec. 2010), 777–798.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132510362600>.

[5]

ANDREW W. NEAL 2006. Foucault in Guantánamo: Towards an Archaeology of the Exception. *Security Dialogue*. 37, 1 (2006), 31–46.

[6]

Andrews, R. 2003. *Research questions*. Continuum.

[7]

Aradau, Claudia 2014. Genealogy [IN] *Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis*. Full details and actions for *Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis*. Routledge.

[8]

Aradau, Claudia 2014. Visuality [IN] *Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis*. Full details and actions for *Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis*. Routledge.

[9]

Aradau, Claudia; Rens van Munster *Governing Terrorism Through Risk: Taking Precautions, (un)Knowing the Future*. *European Journal of International Relations*. 13, 89–115.

[10]

Aradau, Claudia; Rens van Munster *Governing Terrorism Through Risk: Taking Precautions, (un)Knowing the Future*. *European Journal of International Relations*. 13, 89–115.

[11]

Benjamin Baez 2002. Confidentiality in qualitative research: reflections on secrets, power and agency. *Qualitative Research*. 2, Issue 1 (2002), 35–58.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794102002001638>.

[12]

Bhabha, H.K. 2004. *The location of culture*. Routledge.

[13]

Bhambra, Gurminder K. 2017. Brexit, Trump, and "methodological whiteness": on the misrecognition of race and class. *BRITISH JOURNAL OF SOCIOLOGY*; (2017).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12317>.

[14]

Blanchard, E.M. 2003. Gender, International Relations, and the Development of Feminist Security Theory. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*. 28, 4 (Jun. 2003), 1289–1312. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1086/368328>.

[15]

Bleiker, R. 2015. Pluralist Methods for Visual Global Politics. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 43, 3 (Jun. 2015), 872–890. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829815583084>.

[16]

Blix, H. 2005. *Disarming Iraq*. Bloomsbury Publishing.

[17]

Bonditti, P. et al. 2015. Genealogy [IN] Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis. *Critical security methods: new frameworks for analysis*. Routledge. 159–188.

[18]

Borneman, J. and Hammoudi, A. 2009. The Fieldwork Encounter, Experience, and the Making of Truth: An Introduction [IN] *Being there: the fieldwork encounter and the making of truth*. *Being There: the Fieldwork Encounter and the Making of Truth*. University of California Press.

[19]

Bulmer, M. 1982. *Social research ethics: an examination of the merits of covert participant observation*. Macmillan.

[20]

Butler, Judith 2007. Torture and the ethics of photography. *ENVIRONMENT AND PLANNING D-SOCIETY &*. (2007). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1068/d2506jb>.

[21]

Callahan, W.A. 2015. The Visual Turn in IR: Documentary Filmmaking as a Critical Method. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 43, 3 (Jun. 2015), 891–910. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829815578767>.

[22]

Campbell, D. 2007. Geopolitics and visibility: Sighting the Darfur conflict. *Political Geography*. 26, 4 (May 2007), 357–382. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2006.11.005>.

[23]

Dean, M. 2010. *Governmentality: power and rule in modern society*. SAGE.

[24]

Enloe, C. 2014. *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. University of California Press.

[25]

Enloe, C. 2004. III 'Gender' is not enough: the need for a feminist consciousness. *International Affairs*. 80, 1 (Jan. 2004), 95–97. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2004.00370.x>.

[26]

Etherington, K. 2007. Ethical Research in Reflexive Relationships. *Qualitative Inquiry*. 13, 5 (Jul. 2007), 599–616. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800407301175>.

[27]

Fanon, F. 2008. *Black skin, white masks*. Pluto Press.

[28]

Farson, A.S. and Phythian, M. 2011. Chapter 4 The Politics of Commissions of Inquiry into Security and Intelligence Controversies in Britain [IN] Commissions of Inquiry and National Security. Commissions of inquiry and national security: comparative approaches. Praeger Security International.

[29]

FRANK MÖLLER 2009. The looking/not looking dilemma. Review of International Studies. 35, 4 (2009).

[30]

Grix, Jonathan 2002. Introducing Students to the Generic Terminology of Social Research. Politics. 22, Issue 3 (2002).

[31]

Gupta, A. and Ferguson, J. 1997. The Field as Site, Method, and Location in Anthropology [IN] Anthropological locations: boundaries and grounds of a field science. Anthropological locations: boundaries and grounds of a field science. University of California Press.

[32]

Halperin, S. and Heath, O. 2017. Political research: methods and practical skills. Oxford University Press.

[33]

Hancke, Bob 2010. Chapter 11: The challenge of research design [IN] Theory and Methods in Political Science. Theory and methods in political science. Palgrave Macmillan.

[34]

Hansen, L. 2000. Gender, Nation, Rape: Bosnia and the Construction of Security. International Feminist Journal of Politics. 3, 1 (Jan. 2000), 55–75.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14616740010019848>.

[35]

HANSEN, L. 2015. How images make world politics: International icons and the case of Abu Ghraib. *Review of International Studies*. 41, 02 (Apr. 2015), 263–288.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210514000199>.

[36]

Hansen, L. 2014. *Ontologies, Epistemologies, Methodologies [IN] Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations*. *Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations*. Taylor & Francis. 14–23.

[37]

Hansen, Lene 2017. Reading Comics for the Field of International Relations: Theory, Method and the Bosnian War. *European Journal of International Relations*,. (2017), 581–608.

[38]

Haraway, D 2013. The persistence of vision [IN] *The Visual Culture Reader*. The visual culture reader. Routledge.

[39]

Hart, C. 2018. *Doing a literature review: releasing the research imagination*. SAGE.

[40]

Hobbs, D. and Wright, R. 2006. *The SAGE handbook of fieldwork*. SAGE.

[41]

Hollis, M. and Smith, S.M. 1990. *Explaining and understanding international relations*. Clarendon.

[42]

Homan, R. 1992. The Ethics of Open Methods. *The British Journal of Sociology*. 43, 3 (Sep. 1992). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/591538>.

[43]

Howarth, D.R. 2000. *Discourse*. Open University Press.

[44]

Hutchings, Kimberly 2008. 1988 and 1998: Contrast and continuity in feminist international relations. *MILLENNIUM-JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES*. (2008). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829808093732>.

[45]

Iraq Inquiry - Sir John Chilcot's public statement, 6 July 2016:
<https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20171123124608/http://www.iraqinquiry.org.uk/the-inquiry/sir-john-chilcots-public-statement/>.

[46]

J. Ann Tickner 1997. You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International Studies Quarterly*. 41, 4 (1997).

[47]

Jackson, P.T. 2011. *The conduct of inquiry in international relations: philosophy of science and its implications for the study of world politics*. Routledge.

[48]

Jan Jindy Pettman 1996. *Worlding Women : A Feminist International Politics*. Routledge.

[49]

Jean Baudrillard 2000. *Photography, Or The Writing Of Light*. *CTheory*. (2000), 4-12/2000.

[50]

Jeremy Walker; Melinda Cooper 2011. Genealogies of resilience: From systems ecology to the political economy of crisis adaptation. *Security*. 42, 2 (2011), 143–160.

[51]

Jones, A. and Taylor & Francis 2009. *Gender inclusive: essays on violence, men, and feminist international relations*. Routledge.

[52]

Kirin Narayan 1993. How Native Is a 'Native' Anthropologist? *American Anthropologist*. 95, 3 (1993).

[53]

Klotz, A. and Prakash, D. 2008. *Qualitative methods in international relations: a pluralist guide*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[54]

Koo, KL 2002. Confronting a disciplinary blindness: Women, war and rape in the international politics of security. *AUSTRALIAN JOURNAL OF POLITICAL SCIENCE*; (2002). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/1036114022000032744>.

[55]

Kurki, M. 2008. Chapter 1 [IN] *Causation in international relations: reclaiming causal analysis*. Causation in International Relations. Cambridge University Press.

[56]

Kurki, M and Wright, C 2006. *International Relations and Social Science [IN] International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[57]

Lamont, C. 2015. Chapter 1 Research Methods in International relations [IN] Research methods in international relations. Research methods in international relations. SAGE. 13-29.

[58]

Lamont, C. 2015. Chapter 2 Research questions and Research Design [IN] Research methods in international relations. Research methods in international relations. SAGE. 30-48.

[59]

Lamont, C. 2015. Chapter 4 Writing a Literature Review [IN] Research methods in international relations. Research methods in international relations. SAGE. 64-76.

[60]

Latham, Alan 2003. Research, performance, and doing human geography: some reflections on the diary-photograph, diary-interview method. *Environment & Planning A*. 35, Issue 11 (2003), 1993-2017.

[61]

Lebow, R.N. 2014. *Constructing Cause in International Relations*. Cambridge University Press.

[62]

Letherby, G. 2003. *Feminist research in theory and practice*. Open University Press.

[63]

Lisle, D. and Johnson, H.L. 2018. Lost in the aftermath. *Security Dialogue*. (Apr. 2018). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010618762271>.

[64]

Little, R. 1991. International Relations and the Methodological Turn. *Political Studies*. 39, 3 (Sep. 1991), 463–478. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1991.tb01623.x>.

[65]

Mamdani, M. 2012. *Define and Rule: Native as Political Identity*. Harvard University Press.

[66]

Marsh, D. and Stoker, G. 2010. *Theory and methods in political science*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[67]

Metz, C. 1985. Photography and Fetish. *October*. 34, (Autumn 1985). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/778490>.

[68]

Michael J. Shapiro 2008. *Cinematic Geopolitics*. Taylor & Francis Group.

[69]

Milliken, Jennifer *The Study of Discourse in International Relations: A Critique of Research and Methods*. *European Journal of International Relations*. 5, Issue 2.

[70]

Mirzoeff, N. 2013. Introduction: For Critical Visuality Studies [IN] *The Visual Culture Reader*. *The visual culture reader*. Routledge.

[71]

Moon, C. 2006. *Narrating Political Reconciliation: Truth and Reconciliation in South Africa*. *Social & Legal Studies*. (2006).

[72]

Moore, Cerwyn; Shepherd, Laura J. 2010. Aesthetics and International Relations: Towards a Global Politics. *Global Society: Journal of Interdisciplinary International Relations*. 24, Issue 3 (2010), 299–309. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/13600826.2010.485564>.

[73]

Paul Messaris 1994. *Visual 'literacy'*. Westview Press.

[74]

Peterson, V.S. 1992. *Gendered states: feminist (re)visions of international relations theory*. Lynne Rienner.

[75]

Phythian, Mark 2012. Policing Uncertainty: Intelligence, Security and Risk. *Intelligence & National Security*. 27, Issue 2 (2012), 187–205.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02684527.2012.661642>.

[76]

Ralph, Jason 2011. After Chilcot: the 'doctrine of international community' and the UK decision to invade Iraq. *Journal of Politics & International Relations*. 13, 3 (2011).

[77]

Ranciere, J 2013. Notes on the photographic image [IN] *The Visual Culture Reader*. The visual culture reader. Routledge.

[78]

Review by: R. Charli Carpenter 2002. Review: Gender Theory in World Politics: Contributions of a Nonfeminist Standpoint? *International Studies Review*. 4, 3 (2002).

[79]

Ribbens McCarthy, J. and Edwards, R. 1998. Feminist dilemmas in qualitative research: public knowledge and private lives. SAGE.

[80]

Ridley, D. 2012. The literature review: a step-by-step guide for students. SAGE.

[81]

Riley, R.L. et al. 2008. Feminism and war: confronting US imperialism. Zed.

[82]

Rose, G. 2016. Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials. SAGE.

[83]

Rose, G. and Tolia-Kelly, D.P. 2012. Visuality/materiality: images, objects and practices. Ashgate.

[84]

Rowley, C. 2014. Popular culture and the politics of the visual [IN] Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. Taylor & Francis.

[85]

Said, E.W. 1994. Culture and imperialism. Vintage.

[86]

Said, E.W. 2003. Orientalism. Penguin.

[87]

Sayad, A. et al. 2004. The suffering of the immigrant. *Polity*.

[88]

Schlag, G. and Geis, A. 2017. Visualizing violence: aesthetics and ethics in international politics. *Global Discourse*. 7, 2–3 (Jul. 2017), 193–200.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/23269995.2017.1359995>.

[89]

Shepherd, Laura J. 2017. Aesthetics, Ethics, and Visual Research in the Digital Age: "Undone in the Face of the Otter". *MILLENNIUM-JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES*; (2017). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829816684255>.

[90]

Smith, L.T. 2012. Chapter 12 Getting the Story Right, Telling the Story Well: Indigenous Activism, Indigenous Research [IN] *Decolonizing methodologies: research and indigenous peoples*. Decolonizing methodologies: research and indigenous peoples. Zed Books. 343–359.

[91]

Smith, L.T. 2012. Introduction [IN] *Decolonizing methodologies: research and indigenous peoples*. Decolonizing methodologies: research and indigenous peoples. Zed Books. 30–56.

[92]

Spivak, G.C. 1988. *In other worlds: essays in cultural politics*. Routledge.

[93]

Terrell Carver, Molly Cochran and Judith Squires 1998. Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. *Review of International Studies*. 24, 2 (1998).

[94]

The Power of Images in Global Politics: 2018.
<https://www.e-ir.info/2018/03/08/the-power-of-images-in-global-politics/>.

[95]

Thomas, O.D. 2017. Good faith and (dis)honest mistakes? Learning from Britain's Iraq War Inquiry. *Politics*. 37, 4 (Nov. 2017), 371–385.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0263395716688488>.

[96]

Tony Blair statement in response to The Report of the Iraq Inquiry:
<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/chilcot-report-tony-blair-read-response-statement-in-full-iraq-war-inquiry-a7123251.html>.

[97]

V. Spike Peterson 1999. *Global gender issues*. Westview Press.

[98]

Vicki Squire: 12 days in Lampedusa: The potential and perils of a photo essay
@FocaalBlog:
<http://www.focaalblog.com/2016/01/11/vicki-squire-12-days-in-lampedusa-the-potential-and-perils-of-a-photo-essay/>.

[99]

VUCETIC, S. 2011. Genealogy as a research tool in International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 37, 03 (Jul. 2011), 1295–1312.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210510000938>.

[100]

Weldes, J. and Rowley, C. 2015. So, how does popular culture relate to world politics? [IN] *Popular Culture and World Politics: Theories, Methods, Pedagogies*. Popular Culture and World Politics: Theories, Methods, Pedagogies. E-International Relations Publishing. 11–34.

[101]

Young, R. 2001. Postcolonialism: an historical introduction. Blackwell.

[102]

Zalewski, M. 2014. Feminist International Relations: Making sense ... [IN] Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. Gender matters in global politics: a feminist introduction to international relations. Taylor & Francis. 3-13.

[103]

Zalewski, M. 1995. 'Well, what is the feminist perspective on Bosnia?' International Affairs. 71, 2 (Apr. 1995), 339-356. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2623438>.

[104]

Zalewski, M. and Parpart, J.L. 1998. 'Introduction: From the "Woman" Question to the "Man" Question in International Relations' [IN] The "Man" Question in International Relations. The 'man' question in international relations. Westview Press.

[105]

Zalewski, Marysia. Feminism after postmodernism : theorising through practice. London : Routledge, 2000.

[106]

A Passage to Britain - BBC.

[107]

2008. Introduction and Chapter 1 [IN] Research Methods in Politics. Research methods in politics. Palgrave Macmillan.

[108]

2009. Review of International Studies. 35, 4 (2009).