

# POL1044

Public Policy and Administration

View Online



---

[1]

Adler, E. and Haas, P.M. 1992. Conclusion: Epistemic Communities, World Order, and the Creation of a Reflective Research Program. *International Organization*. 46, 1 (1992).

[2]

Allen, C. 2001. 'They just don't live and breathe the policy like we do...': Policy intentions and practice dilemmas in modern social policy and implementation networks. *Policy Studies*. 22, 3-4 (2001), 149-166.

[3]

Anderson, J.E. 1975. *Public Policy-Making*. Nelson.

[4]

Anderson, J.E. 1975. *Public Policy-Making*. Nelson.

[5]

Araral, E. et al. 2012. *Routledge Handbook of Public Policy*.

[6]

Araral, E. et al. *Routledge Handbook of Public Policy*.

[7]

Arentsen, M.J. et al. 2000. Institutional and Policy Responses to Uncertainty in Environmental Policy: A Comparison of Dutch and U.S. Styles. *Policy Studies Journal*. 28, 3 (2000), 597–611.

[8]

Asare, B.E. and Studlar, D.T. 2009. Lesson-drawing and public policy: secondhand smoking restrictions in Scotland and England. *Policy Studies*. 30, 3 (2009), 365–382.

[9]

Atikcan, E.Ö. 2015. Diffusion in Referendum Campaigns: The Case of EU Constitutional Referendums. *Journal of European Integration*. 37, 4 (2015), 451–470.

[10]

Bachrach, P. and Baratz, M.S. 1962. Two Faces of Power. *The American Political Science Review*. 56, 4 (1962), 947–952.

[11]

Baggot, R. 1995. From Confrontation to Consultation? Pressure Group Relations From Thatcher to Major. *Parliamentary Affairs*. 48, (1995), 484–502.

[12]

Bardach, E. 1976. Policy Termination as a Political Process. *Policy Sciences*. 7, 2 (1976), 123–131.

[13]

Baumgartner, F.R. et al. 2006. 'Comparative studies of policy agendas' [in] *Journal of European Public Policy*. 13, 7 (2006), 959–974.

[14]

Baumgartner, F.R. et al. 2009. 'Punctuated Equilibrium in Comparative Perspective' [in] *American Journal of Political Science*. *American Journal of Political Science*. 53, 3 (2009), 603–620.

[15]

Baumgartner, F.R. et al. 2018. 'Punctuated equilibrium theory: explaining stability and change in public policymaking' [in] *Theories of the Policy Process*. *Theories of the Policy Process*. C.M. Weible and P.A. Sabatier, eds. Routledge.

[16]

Baumgartner, F.R. and Jones, B.D. 2009. *Agendas and Instability in American Politics*. The University of Chicago Press.

[17]

Baumgartner, F.R. and Mahoney, C. 2008. 'The Two Faces of Framing: Individual-Level Framing and Collective Issue Definition in the European Union' [in] *European Union Politics*. *European Union Politics*. 9, 3 (2008), 435–449.

[18]

Beletsky, L. et al. 2005. Attitudes of police officers towards syringe access, occupational needle-sticks, and drug use: A qualitative study of one city police department in the United States. *International Journal of Drug Policy*. 16, 4 (2005), 267–274.

[19]

Bell, R. et al. 1969. *Political Power: A Reader in Theory and Research*. Free Press.

[20]

Bennett, C.J. 1997. Understanding Ripple Effects: The Cross-National Adoption of Policy Instruments for Bureaucratic Accountability. *Governance*. 10, 3 (1997), 213–233.

[21]

Benson, D. and Jordan, A. 2012. Policy Transfer Research: Still Evolving, Not Yet Through? *Political Studies Review*. 10, 3 (2012), 333–338.

[22]

Bessant, J. 2008. From 'harm minimization' to 'zero tolerance' drugs policy in Australia: how the Howard government changed its mind. *Policy Studies*. 29, 2 (2008), 197–214.

[23]

Birrell, D. 2008. Devolution and quangos in the United Kingdom: the implementation of principles and policies for rationalisation and democratisation. *Policy Studies*. 29, 1 (2008), 35–49.

[24]

Boin, A. et al. 2009. Crisis exploitation: political and policy impacts of framing contests. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 16, 1 (2009), 81–106.

[25]

Boin, A. et al. 2008. *Governing After Crisis: The Politics of Investigation, Accountability and Learning*. Cambridge University Press.

[26]

Bothun, D. and Comer, J.C. 1979. The Politics of Termination: Concepts and Process. *Policy Studies Journal*. 7, 3 (1979), 540–553.

[27]

Bovens, M. et al. 2010. The politics of policy evaluation. *The Oxford Handbook of Public Policy*. Oxford University Press.

[28]

Breunig, C. and Koski, C. 2012. The Tortoise or the Hare? Incrementalism, Punctuations, and Their Consequences. *Policy Studies Journal*. 40, 1 (2012), 45–68.

[29]

Brewer, G.D. 1978. Termination: Hard Choices, Harder Questions. *Public Administration Review*. 38, 4 (1978), 338–344.

[30]

Browne, A. and Wildavsky, A. 1984. Chapter 10: Implementation as Mutual Adjustment. *Implementation: How Great Expectations in Washington are Dashed in Oakland*. University of California Press. 206–231.

[31]

Burch, M. and Wood, B. 1983. Chapter 7: The Products of Government: Carrying out Intentions. *Public Policy in Britain*. Robertson. 167–192.

[32]

Burch, M. and Wood, B. 1983. *Public Policy in Britain*. Robertson.

[33]

Cairney, P. 2011. The New British Policy Style: From a British to a Scottish Political Tradition? *Political Studies Review*. 9, 2 (2011), 208–220.

[34]

Cairney, P. 2012. *Understanding Public Policy: Theories and Issues*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[35]

Cairney, P. 2012. *Understanding Public Policy: Theories and Issues*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[36]

Cairney, P. 2012. *Understanding Public Policy: Theories and Issues*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[37]

Cairney, P. 2012. *Understanding Public Policy: Theories and Issues*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[38]

Cairney, P. 2012. *Understanding Public Policy: Theories and Issues*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[39]

Cairney, P. 2012. *Understanding Public Policy: Theories and Issues*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[40]

Cairney, P. 2012. *Understanding Public Policy: Theories and Issues*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[41]

Carroll, P. and Common, R. 2013. *Policy Transfer and Learning in Public Policy and Management: International Contexts, Content and Development*. Routledge.

[42]

Carroll, P. and Common, R. 2013. *Policy Transfer and Learning in Public Policy and Management: International Contexts, Content and Development*. Routledge.

[43]

Clarke, J. et al. 2015. *Making Policy Move: Towards a Politics of Translation and Assemblage*. Policy Press.

[44]

Cobb, M.D. and Kuklinski, J.H. 1997. Changing Minds: Political Arguments and Political Persuasion. *American Journal of Political Science*. 41, 1 (1997), 88–121.

[45]

Cohen, M.D. et al. 1972. A Garbage Can Model of Organizational Choice. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 17, 1 (1972), 1–25.

[46]

Collins, M. 2014. The Beatles' Politics. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 16, 2 (2014), 291–309.

[47]

Couldry, N. and Markham, T. 2007. Celebrity culture and public connection: Bridge or chasm? *International Journal of Cultural Studies*. 10, 4 (2007), 403–421.

[48]

Cram, L. 2001. Whither the Commission? Reform, renewal and the issue-attention cycle. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 8, 5 (2001), 770–786.

[49]

Crenson, M.A. 1971. *The Un-Politics of Air Pollution: A Study of Non-Decisionmaking in the Cities*. Johns Hopkins Press.

[50]

Cyert, R.M. and March, J.G. 1992. *A Behavioral Theory of the Firm*. Blackwell Business.

[51]

Daniels, M.R. 1995. Organizational Termination and Policy Continuation: Closing the Oklahoma Public Training Schools. *Policy Sciences*. 28, 3 (1995), 301–316.

[52]

Davies, H. et al. 2007. Academic Advice to Practitioners? The Role and Use of Research-Based Evidence. *Public Money and Management*. 27, 4 (2007), 232–235.

[53]

Davis, O.A. et al. 1966. A Theory of the Budgetary Process. *The American Political Science Review*. 60, 3 (1966), 529–547.

[54]

Daviter, F. 2007. Policy framing in the European Union. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 14, 4 (2007), 654–666.

[55]

Dempster, M.A.H. and Wildavsky, A. 1979. On Change: Or There is No Magic Size for an Increment. *Political Studies*. 27, 3 (1979), 371–389.

[56]

Dery, D. 2000. Agenda Setting and Problem Definition. *Policy Studies*. 21, 1 (2000), 37–47.

[57]

Dery, D. 2000. 'Agenda Setting and Problem Definition' [in] *Policy Studies*. *Policy Studies*. 21, 1 (2000), 37–47.

[58]

Dolowitz, D. and Marsh, D. 1996. Who Learns What from Whom: A Review of the Policy Transfer Literature. *Political Studies*. 44, 2 (1996), 343–357.



[59]

Dolowitz, D.P. and Marsh, D. 2000. Learning from Abroad: The Role of Policy Transfer in Contemporary Policy-Making. *Governance*. 13, 1 (2000), 5-23.

[60]

Dommett, K. and Skelcher, C. 2014. Opening the Black Box of Administrative Reform: A Strategic-Relational Analysis. *International Public Management Journal*. 17, 4 (2014), 540-563.

[61]

Dorey, P. 2005. Organized interests and policy networks. *Policy Making in Britain: An Introduction*. SAGE.

[62]

Dorey, P. 2005. *Policy Making in Britain: An Introduction*. SAGE.

[63]

Dowding, K. 1995. Model or Metaphor? A Critical Review of the Policy Network Approach. *Political Studies*. 43, 1 (1995), 136-158.

[64]

Downs, A. 1972. Up and Down with Ecology-the Issue-Attention Cycle. *The Public Interest*. 28, 1 (1972), 65-80.

[65]

Druckman, J.N. 2001. On the Limits of Framing Effects: Who Can Frame? *The Journal of Politics*. 63, 4 (2001), 1041-1066.

[66]

Druckman, J.N. and Nelson, K.R. 2003. Framing and Deliberation: How Citizens' Conversations Limit Elite Influence. *American Journal of Political Science*. 47, 4 (2003), 729-745.

[67]

Dunér, A. and Nordström, M. 2006. The discretion and power of street-level bureaucrats: an example from Swedish municipal eldercare. *European Journal of Social Work*. 9, 4 (2006), 425-444.

[68]

Dunlop, C. 2000. Epistemic Communities: A Reply to Toke. *Politics*. 20, 3 (2000), 137-144.

[69]

Dunlop, C. 2014. How Policy Elites Learn and Why Policy Legacies Matter: A Process Analysis of Gay Marriage Legalization in England and Wales. *Council For European Studies*.

[70]

Dunlop, C. et al. 2014. The appraisal of policy appraisal – learning about impact assessment. (2014).

[71]

Dunlop, C.A. 2009. Policy transfer as learning: capturing variation in what decision-makers learn from epistemic communities. *Policy Studies*. 30, 3 (2009), 289-311.

[72]

Dunlop, C.A. 2009. Policy transfer as learning: capturing variation in what decision-makers learn from epistemic communities. *Policy Studies*. 30, 3 (2009), 289-311.

[73]

Dunlop, C.A. 2009. Principal-Agent Modelling and Learning: The European Commission, Experts and Agricultural Hormone Growth Promoters. *Public Policy and Administration*. 30, 3 (2009), 291–313.

[74]

Dunlop, C.A. 2009. The temporal dimension of knowledge and the limits of policy appraisal: biofuels policy in the UK. *Policy Sciences*. 43, 4 (2009), 343–363.

[75]

Dunlop, C.A. 2007. Up and down the pecking order, what matters and when in issue definition: the case of rbST in the EU. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 14, 1 (2007), 39–58.

[76]

Dunlop, C.A. and Radaelli, C.M. 2013. Systematising Policy Learning: From Monolith to Dimensions. *Political Studies*. 61, 3 (2013), 599–619.

[77]

Dunn, W.N. 2012. *Public Policy Analysis: An Introduction*. Pearson.

[78]

Evans, M. 2009. Policy Transfer in Critical Perspective. *Policy Studies*. 30, 3 (2009), 243–268.

[79]

Farrell, N. 2012. Celebrity Politics: Bono, Product (RED) and the Legitimising of Philanthrocapitalism. *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 14, (2012), 392–406.

[80]

Ferris, J.M. and Tang, S.-Y. 1993. The New Institutionalism and Public Administration: An

Overview. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory: J-PART*. 3, 1 (1993), 4–10.

[81]

Forbes, T. et al. 2010. Implementing health and social care policy – England and Scotland compared. *Policy Studies*. 31, 6 (2010), 591–611.

[82]

Frantz, J.E. 2002. Political Resources for Policy Terminators. *Policy Studies Journal*. 30, 1 (2002), 11–28.

[83]

Frantz, J.E. 1992. Reviving and Revising a Termination Model. *Policy Sciences*. 25, 2 (1992), 175–189.

[84]

Frederking, L.C. 2012. A comparative study of framing immigration policy after 11 September 2001. *Policy Studies*. 33, 4 (2012), 283–296.

[85]

Freeman, G.P. 1985. National Styles and Policy Sectors: Explaining Structured Variation. *Journal of Public Policy*. 5, 4 (1985), 467–496.

[86]

Freeman, R. 2010. Learning in public policy. *The Oxford Handbook of Public Policy*. Oxford University Press.

[87]

Fyfe, G. et al. 2009. 'Muddling through' in a devolved polity: implementation of equal opportunities policy in Scotland. *Policy Studies*. 30, 2 (2009), 203–219.

[88]

Geva-May, I. 2004. Riding the Wave of Opportunity: Termination in Public Policy. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 14, 3 (2004), 309–333.

[89]

Graddy, E.A. and Ye, K. 2008. When Do We 'Just Say No'? Policy Termination Decisions in Local Hospital Services. *Policy Studies Journal*. 36, 2 (2008), 219–242.

[90]

Greener, I. 2004. The three moments of New Labour's health policy discourse. *Policy and Politics*. 32, 3 (2004), 303–316.

[91]

Gregory, R. 2016. Political rationality or incrementalism. *The Public Policy Process*. Routledge.

[92]

Gunn, L.A. 1978. Why is implementation so difficult? *Management Services in Government*. 33, (1978), 169–176.

[93]

Haas, P.M. 1992. Introduction: Epistemic Communities and International Policy Coordination. *International Organization*. 46, 1 (1992), 1–35.

[94]

Hall, C.M. 2002. Travel Safety, Terrorism and the Media: The Significance of the Issue-Attention Cycle. *Current Issues in Tourism*. 5, 5 (2002), 458–466.

[95]

Hall, P.A. and Taylor, R.C.R. 1996. Political Science and the Three New Institutionalisms. *Political Studies*. 44, 5 (1996), 936–957.

[96]

Ham, C. and Hill, M. 1993. Chapter 1: Policy and Policy Analysis. *The Policy Process in the Modern Capitalist State*. Harvester Wheatsheaf. 1–21.

[97]

Ham, C. and Hill, M. 1993. *The Policy Process in the Modern Capitalist State*. Harvester Wheatsheaf.

[98]

Hann, A. 1995. Sharpening up Sabatier: Belief Systems and Public Policy. *Politics*. 15, 1 (1995), 19–26.

[99]

Hay, C. 2010. Globalization and public policy. *The Oxford Handbook of Public Policy*. Oxford University Press.

[100]

Hay, C. and Richards, D. 2000. The Tangled Webs of Westminster and Whitehall: The Discourse, Strategy and Practice of Networking Within the British Core Executive. *Public Administration*. 78, 1 (2000), 1–28.

[101]

Hayward, J. 1974. National Aptitudes for Planning in Britain, France, and Italy. *Government and Opposition*. 9, 4 (1974), 397–410.

[102]

Hecló, H. 1978. Chapter 3: Issue Networks and the Executive Establishment. *The New American Political System*. American Institute. 87–124.

[103]

Heidenheimer, A.J. et al. 1983. *Comparative Public Policy: The Politics of Social Choice in Europe and America*. Macmillan.

[104]

Hennessy, P. 2002. The Blair Government in Historical Perspective: An Analysis of the Power Relationships within New Labour. *History Today*. 52, 1 (2002), 21–25.

[105]

Hennessy, P. 1998. The Blair Style of Government: An Historical Perspective and an Interim Audit. *Government and Opposition*. 33, 1 (1998), 3–20.

[106]

Henry, G.T. and Gordon, C.S. 'Tracking Issue Attention: Specifying the Dynamics of the Public Agenda' [in] *The Public Opinion Quarterly*. *The Public Opinion Quarterly*. 65, 2, 157–177.

[107]

Hill, M. 1997. Chapter 7: The Policy Process as an Organisational Process. *The Policy Process in the Modern State*. Prentice Hall. 153–176.

[108]

Hill, M. 1997. Implementation Theory: Yesterday's Issue? *Policy and Politics*. 25, 4 (1997), 375–385.

[109]

Hill, M. 1997. *The Policy Process: A Reader*. Prentice Hall.

[110]

Hill, M. 1997. *The Policy Process: A Reader*. Prentice Hall.

[111]

Hill, M. 1997. *The Policy Process in the Modern State*. Prentice Hall.

[112]

Hill, M.J. 2013. *The Public Policy Process*. Pearson.

[113]

Hill, M.J. 2013. *The Public Policy Process*. Pearson.

[114]

Hill, M.J. 2009. *The Public Policy Process*. Pearson Longman.

[115]

Hill, M.J. 2016. *The Public Policy Process*. Routledge.

[116]

Hill, M.J. 2013. *The Public Policy Process*. Pearson.

[117]

Hill, M.J. 2013. *The Public Policy Process*. Pearson.

[118]

Hill, M.J. and Hupe, P.L. 2002. *Implementing Public Policy: Governance in Theory and Practice*. Sage.



[119]

Hindmoor, A. 2009. Explaining Networks through Mechanisms: Vaccination, Priming and the 2001 Foot and Mouth Disease Crisis. *Political Studies*. 57, 1 (2009), 75–94.

[120]

Hogwood, B.W. 1984. Chapter 1: A Framework for Analysis. *Policy Analysis for the Real World*. Oxford University Press. 3–11.

[121]

Hogwood, B.W. 1987. Chapter 1: Making Sense of Policy Activity. *From Crisis to Complacency?: Shaping Public Policy in Britain*. Oxford University Press. 1–24.

[122]

Hogwood, B.W. 1987. Chapter 1: Making sense of policy activity. *From Crisis to Complacency?.* Oxford University Press. 1–24.

[123]

Hogwood, B.W. 1987. *From Crisis to Complacency?: Shaping Public Policy in Britain*. Oxford University Press.

[124]

Hogwood, B.W. 1987. *From Crisis to Complacency?: Shaping Public Policy in Britain*. Oxford University Press.

[125]

Hogwood, B.W. 1992. *Ups and Downs: Is There an Issue-Attention Cycle in Britain?.* Dept. of Government, University of Strathclyde.

[126]

Hogwood, B.W. and Gunn, L.A. 1984. *Policy Analysis for the Real World*. Oxford University

Press.

[127]

Hogwood, B.W. and Peters, B.G. 1983. Policy Dynamics. Wheatsheaf Books.

[128]

Howlett, M. 2003. Administrative styles and the limits of administrative reform: A neo-institutional analysis of administrative culture. Canadian Public Administration/Administration Publique du Canada. 46, 4 (2003), 471-494.

[129]

Howlett, M. 2009. Chapter 7: Policy Implementation. Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems. Oxford University Press. 160-177.

[130]

Howlett, M. 2009. Pages 191-192: The Outcomes of Policy Evaluation: Policy Feedback and Policy Termination. Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems. Oxford University Press. 191-192.

[131]

Howlett, M. et al. 2009. Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems. Oxford University Press.

[132]

Howlett, M. et al. 2009. Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems. Oxford University Press.

[133]

Howlett, M. et al. 2009. Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems. Oxford University Press.

[134]

Howlett, M. et al. 2009. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*. Oxford University Press.

[135]

Howlett, M. et al. 2009. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*. Oxford University Press.

[136]

Howlett, M. et al. 2009. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*. Oxford University Press.

[137]

Howlett, M. et al. 2009. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*. Oxford University Press.

[138]

Howlett, M. et al. 2009. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*. Oxford University Press.

[139]

Howlett, M. et al. 2009. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*. Oxford University Press.

[140]

Hupe, P. et al. 2014. Studying implementation beyond deficit analysis: The top-down view reconsidered. *Public Policy and Administration*. 29, 2 (2014), 145–163.

[141]

Hupe, P. 2014. What happens on the ground: Persistent issues in implementation research. *Public Policy and Administration*. 29, 2 (2014), 164-182.

[142]

Hupe, P.L. 2011. The Thesis of Incongruent Implementation: Revisiting Pressman and Wildavsky. *Public Policy and Administration*. 26, 1 (2011), 63-80.

[143]

Ingold, K. 2011. Network Structures within Policy Processes: Coalitions, Power, and Brokerage in Swiss Climate Policy. *Policy Studies Journal*. 39, 3 (2011), 435-459.

[144]

Jackson, P.M. 1992. Chapter 2: Economic policy. *Implementing Thatcherite Policies: Audit of an Era*. Open University Press. 11-31.

[145]

James, O. and Lodge, M. 2003. The Limitations of 'Policy Transfer' and 'Lesson Drawing' for Public Policy Research. *Political Studies Review*. 1, 2 (2003), 179-193.

[146]

James, S. 1997. Chapter 5: Implementation and policy review. *British Government: A Reader in Policy Making*. Routledge. 152-170.

[147]

James, S. 1997. Chapter 5: Implementation and policy review. *British Government: A Reader in Policy Making*. Routledge. 152-169.

[148]

Janis, I.L. 1982. *Groupthink: Psychological Studies of Policy Decisions and Fiascoes*. Houghton Mifflin.

[149]

Janis, I.L. and Mann, L. 1977. *Decision-Making: A Psychological Analysis of Conflict, Choice, and Commitment*. The Free Press.

[150]

Jenkins, B. 2016. 'Policy analysis: models and approaches' [in] *The Public Policy Process*. The Public Policy Process. Routledge.

[151]

Jensen, C. 2011. 'Focusing events, policy dictators and the dynamics of reform' [in] *Policy Studies*. *Policy Studies*. 32, 2 (2011), 143-158.

[152]

John, P. 2012. *Analysing Public Policy*. Routledge.

[153]

Jones, B.D. and Baumgartner, F.R. 2005. Chapter 1: 'How government processes information and prioritizes problems' [in] *The Politics of Attention: How Government Prioritizes Problems*. *The Politics of Attention: How Government Prioritizes Problems*. University of Chicago Press. 1-27.

[154]

Jordan, A. et al. 2013. Policy dismantling. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 20, 5 (2013), 795-805.

[155]

Jordan, A. and Turnpenny, J. 2015. *The Tools of Policy Formulation*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

[156]

Jordan, A.G. and Richardson, J.J. 1982. Chapter 4: The British Policy Style or the Logic of Negotiation. *Policy Styles in Western Europe*. Allen & Unwin. 80–110.

[157]

Jordana, J. 2014. Multiple Crises and Policy Dismantling in Spain: Political Strategies and Distributive Implications. *Political Studies Review*. 12, 2 (2014), 224–238.

[158]

Kingdon, J.W. 2014. *Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies*. Pearson.

[159]

Knill, C. 1998. European Policies: The Impact of National Administrative Traditions. *Journal of Public Policy*. 18, 1 (1998), 1–28.

[160]

Knill, C. and Tosun, J. 2012. *Public Policy: A New Introduction*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[161]

Leach, S. 1982. Chapter 1: In Defence of the Rational Model. *Approaches in Public Policy*. Allen & Unwin for the Institute of Local Government Studies, University of Birmingham. 6–23.

[162]

Leifeld, P. and Schneider, V. 2012. Information Exchange in Policy Networks. *American Journal of Political Science*. 56, 3 (2012), 731–744.

[163]

de Leon, P. 1978. Public Policy Termination: An End and a Beginning. *Policy Analysis*. 4, 3 (1978), 369–392.

[164]

Lindblom, C.E. 1979. Still Muddling, Not Yet Through. *Public Administration Review*. 39, 6 (1979), 517–526.

[165]

Lindblom, C.E. 1959. The Science of 'Muddling Through'. *Public Administration Review*. 19, 2 (1959), 79–88.

[166]

Lindblom, C.E. 1959. The Science of 'Muddling Through'. *Public Administration Review*. 19, 2 (1959), 79–88.

[167]

Litfin, K.T. 2000. Advocacy Coalitions Along the Domestic-Foreign Frontier: Globalization and Canadian Climate Change Policy. *Policy Studies Journal*. 28, 1 (2000), 236–252.

[168]

Lowndes, V. 2002. Chapter 4: Institutionalism. *Theory and Methods in Political Science*. Palgrave Macmillan. 90–108.

[169]

Maassen, P. et al. 2011. Reforming higher education in the Netherlands and Norway: the role of the state and national modes of governance. *Policy Studies*. 32, 5 (2011), 479–495.

[170]

Maioni, A. 1997. Parting at the Crossroads: The Development of Health Insurance in Canada and the United States, 1940-1965. *Comparative Politics*. 29, 4 (1997), 411–431.

[171]

Majone, G. 2008. Agenda Setting. *The Oxford Handbook of Public Policy*. Oxford University Press.

[172]

Mamudu, H. et al. 2015. 'Global Public Policy': Does the New Venue for Transnational Tobacco Control Challenge the Old Way of Doing Things? *Public Administration*. (2015).

[173]

Manwaring, R. 2016. The Big Society in Australia: A Case of 'Non'-Policy Transfer? *Australian Journal of Public Administration*. 75, 2 (2016), 191–201.

[174]

March, J.G. and Olsen, J.P. 1976. *Ambiguity and Choice in Organizations*. Universitetsforlaget.

[175]

March, J.G. and Olsen, J.P. 2006. Chapter 1: Elaborating the 'New Institutionalism'. *The Oxford Handbook of Political Institutions*. Oxford University Press.

[176]

March, J.G. and Olsen, J.P. 1984. The New Institutionalism: Organizational Factors in Political Life. *The American Political Science Review*. 78, 3 (1984), 734–749.

[177]

Mark V. Nadel 1975. The Hidden Dimension of Public Policy: Private Governments and the Policy- Making Process. *The Journal of Politics*. 37, 1 (1975), 2–34.

[178]

Marsh, D. et al. 2009. Policy networks and the distinction between insider and outsider groups: the case of the Countryside Alliance. *Public Administration*. 87, 3 (2009), 621–638.



[179]

Marsh, D. and McConnell, A. 2010. Towards a framework for establishing policy success. *Public Administration*. 88, 2 (2010), 564–583.

[180]

Marsh, D. and Smith, M. 2000. Understanding Policy Networks: Towards a Dialectical Approach. *Political Studies*. 48, 1 (2000), 4–21.

[181]

May, P.J. 2015. Implementation failures revisited: Policy regime perspectives. *Public Policy and Administration*. 30, 3–4 (2015), 277–299.

[182]

Measuring Performance in Government Departments HC 301 Parliamentary Session 2000-01: .

[183]

Mintz, A. 2005. Applied Decision Analysis: Utilizing Polyheuristic Theory to Explain and Predict Foreign Policy and National Security Decisions. *International Studies Perspectives*. 6, 1 (2005), 94–98.

[184]

Moran, M. 2001. Not steering but drowning: policy catastrophes and the regulatory state. *The Political Quarterly*. 72, 4 (2001), 414–427.

[185]

Moran, M. et al. 2010. *The Oxford Handbook of Public Policy*. Oxford University Press.

[186]

Moss, P. 2004. Sure start. *Journal of Education Policy*. 19, 5 (2004), 631–634.

[187]

Mucciaroni, G. 1992. The Garbage Can Model & the Study of Policy Making: A Critique. *Polity*. 24, 3 (1992), 459–482.

[188]

Nadel, M.V. 1976. Rejoinder to Martin Sorin's Critique. *The Journal of Politics*. 38, 1 (1976), 164–165.

[189]

Nelson, T.E. et al. 1997. 'Media Framing of a Civil Liberties Conflict and Its Effect on Tolerance' [in] *The American Political Science Review*. *The American Political Science Review*. 91, 3 (1997), 567–583.

[190]

North, D.C. 1990. *Institutions, Institutional Change, and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press.

[191]

Nutley, S.M. and Homel, P. 2008. Delivering evidence-based practice: lessons from the implementation of the UK Crime Reduction Programme. *Evidence and Policy*. 2, 1 (2008), 5–26.

[192]

O'Leary, C. 2015. Agency Termination in the UK: What Explains the 'Bonfire of the Quangos'? *West European Politics*. 38, 6 (2015), 1327–1344.

[193]

O'Riordan, T. 1991. 'Stability and transformation in environmental government' [in] Political Quarterly. The Political Quarterly. 62, 2 (1991), 167-185.

[194]

Owens, S. et al. 2004. New agendas for appraisal: reflections on theory, practice, and research. Environment and Planning A. 36, 11 (2004), 1943-1959.

[195]

Page, E.C. 1999. The Insider/Outsider Distinction: An Empirical Investigation. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations. 1, 2 (1999), 205-214.

[196]

Palumbo, D.J. and Nachmias, D. 1983. The preconditions for successful evaluation: is there an ideal paradigm? Policy Sciences. 16, 1 (1983), 67-79.

[197]

Parsons, D.W. 1996. Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis. Edward Elgar.

[198]

Parsons, D.W. 1996. Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis. Edward Elgar.

[199]

Parsons, D.W. 1996. Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis. Edward Elgar.

[200]

Parsons, D.W. 1996. Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis. Edward Elgar.

[201]

Parsons, D.W. 1996. *Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis*. Edward Elgar.

[202]

Parsons, D.W. 1996. *Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis*. Edward Elgar.

[203]

Parsons, D.W. 1996. *Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis*. Edward Elgar.

[204]

Parsons, W.D. 1996. Pages 570-579. *Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis*. Edward Elgar. 570–579.

[205]

Patashnik, E.M. 1999. Ideas, Inheritances, and the Dynamics of Budgetary Change. *Governance*. 12, 2 (1999), 147–174.

[206]

Paton, C. 2014. Garbage-can policy-making meets neo-liberal ideology: twenty five years of redundant reform of the English National Health Service. *Social Policy & Administration*. 48, 3 (2014), 319–342.

[207]

Peck, J. 2011. Geographies of policy: From transfer-diffusion to mobility-mutation. *Progress in Human Geography*. 35, 6 (2011), 773–797.

[208]

Peck, J. and Theodore, N. 2015. *Fast Policy: Experimental Statecraft at the Thresholds of Neoliberalism*. University of Minnesota Press.

[209]

Peters, B.G. 1996. *Political institutions: old and new*. *A New Handbook of Political Science*. Oxford University Press.

[210]

Peters, B.G. and Hogwood, B.W. 1985. 'In Search of the Issue-Attention Cycle' [in] *The Journal of Politics*. *The Journal of Politics*. 47, 1 (1985), 238-253.

[211]

Pierson, P. 2000. Increasing Returns, Path Dependence, and the Study of Politics. *The American Political Science Review*. 94, 2 (2000), 251-267.

[212]

Politics and International Relations - LibGuides at University of Exeter:  
<http://libguides.exeter.ac.uk/PoliticsHomePage>.

[213]

Pollitt, C. 1993. Occasional excursions: a brief history of policy evaluation in the UK. *Parliamentary Affairs: A Journal of Comparative Politics*. 46, (1993), 353-362.

[214]

Radaelli, C.M. 1995. The role of knowledge in the policy process. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2, 2 (1995), 159-183.

[215]

Radin, B. 2000. *Beyond Machiavelli: Policy Analysis Comes of Age*. Georgetown University

Press.

[216]

Rein, M. 2010. Reframing problematic policies. *The Oxford Handbook of Public Policy*. Oxford University Press.

[217]

Reinicke, W.H. 1997. Global Public Policy. *Foreign Affairs*. 76, 6 (1997), 127–138.

[218]

Rhodes, R.A.W. 1997. Chapter 4: The institutional approach. *Understanding Governance: Policy Networks, Governance, Reflexivity and Accountability*. Open University Press. 63–84.

[219]

Riccucci, N.M. 2002. Implementing welfare reform in Michigan: The role of street-level bureaucrats. *International Journal of Public Administration*. 25, 7 (2002), 901–921.

[220]

Richards, D. and Smith, M.J. 2002. Chapter 1: Introduction: public policy in a changing world. *Governance and Public Policy in the UK*. Oxford University Press. 1–12.

[221]

Rocheftort, D.A. and Cobb, R.W. 1994. *The Politics of Problem Definition: Shaping the Policy Agenda*. University Press of Kansas.

[222]

Rose, R. 1984. *Do Parties Make a Difference?*. Macmillan.

[223]

Rose, R. 1993. *Lesson-Drawing in Public Policy: A Guide to Learning Across Time and Space*. Chatham House Publishers.

[224]

Rose, R. and Davies, P.L. 1994. *Inheritance in Public Policy: Change Without Choice in Britain*. Yale University Press.

[225]

Rothmayr Allison, C. and Saint-Martin, D. 2011. Half a century of 'muddling': Are we there yet? *Policy and Society*. 30, 1 (2011), 1-8.

[226]

Rothstein, B. 1998. Political institutions: an overview. *A New Handbook of Political Science*. Oxford University Press.

[227]

Sabatier, P. and Weible, C. 2014. *Theories of the Policy Process*. Perseus-Westview Books.

[228]

Sabatier, P. and Weible, C. 2014. *Theories of the Policy Process*. Perseus-Westview Books.

[229]

Sabatier, P.A. 2007. Chapter 1: The need for better theories. *Theories of the policy process*. Perseus Running [distributor]. 3-17.

[230]

Sabatier, P.A. 1993. Chapter 2: Policy Change over a Decade or More. *Policy Change and*

Learning: An Advocacy Coalition Approach. Westview Press. 13–39.

[231]

Sanderson, I. 2002. Evaluation, policy learning and evidence-based policy making. *Public Administration*. 80, 1 (2002), 1–22.

[232]

Sandström, A. and Carlsson, L. 2008. The Performance of Policy Networks: The Relation between Network Structure and Network Performance. *Policy Studies Journal*. 36, 4 (2008), 497–524.

[233]

Sato, H. 2002. Abolition of Leprosy Isolation Policy in Japan. *Policy Termination through Leadership*. *Policy Studies Journal*. 30, 1 (2002), 29–46.

[234]

Sato, H. 1999. The Advocacy Coalition Framework and the Policy Process Analysis: The Case of Smoking Control in Japan. *Policy Studies Journal*. 27, 1 (1999), 28–44.

[235]

Scheufele, D.A. 2000. Agenda-Setting, Priming, and Framing Revisited: Another Look at Cognitive Effects of Political Communication. *Mass Communication and Society*. 3, 2–3 (2000), 297–316.

[236]

Schmidt, V.A. 2012. Give peace a chance: reconciling four (not three) 'New Institutionalisms'.

[237]

Selznick, P. 1996. Institutionalism 'Old' and 'New'. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 41, 2 (1996), 270–277.



[238]

Shawki, N. 2015. Transnationalism and Diffusion: A Study of the Food Sovereignty Movements in the UK and Canada. *Globalizations*. 12, 5 (2015), 758–773.

[239]

Simon, H.A. 1965. Chapter IV: Rationality in Administrative Behavior. *Administrative Behaviour: A Study of Decision-Making Process in Administrative Organisation*. Free Press; Collier-Macmillan. 61–78.

[240]

Simon, H.A. 1977. Organizational design: man-machine systems for decision-making. *The New Science of Management Decision*. Prentice-Hall. 35–50.

[241]

Smith, M.J. 1991. From policy community to issue network: Salmonella in eggs and the new politics of food. *Public Administration*. 69, 2 (1991), 235–255.

[242]

Sorin, M. 1976. The boundary between public and private policy-making: a reply to Mark V. Nadel. *The Journal of Politics*. 38, 1 (1976), 159–163.

[243]

Steinmo, S. 1989. Political Institutions and Tax Policy in the United States, Sweden, and Britain. *World Politics*. 41, 4 (1989), 500–535.

[244]

Stone, D. 2008. Global Public Policy, Transnational Policy Communities, and their Networks. *Policy Studies Journal*. 36, 1 (2008), 19–38.

[245]

Stone, D. 1999. Learning Lessons and Transferring Policy across Time, Space and Disciplines. *Politics*. 19, 1 (1999), 51–59.

[246]

Stone, D. 2004. Transfer agents and global networks in the 'transnationalization' of policy. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 11, 3 (2004), 545–566.

[247]

Stone, D. 2012. Transfer and translation of policy. *Policy Studies*. 33, 6 (2012), 483–499.

[248]

Street, J. 2012. Do Celebrity Politics and Celebrity Politicians Matter? *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 14, (2012), 346–356.

[249]

Stringer, J.K. and Richardson, J.J. 1980. 'Managing the Political Agenda: Problem Definition and Policy Making in Britain' [in] *Parliamentary Affairs: A Journal of Comparative Politics*. *Parliamentary Affairs: A Journal of Comparative Politics*. 33, (1980), 23–39.

[250]

Teisman, G.R. 2000. Models For Research into Decision-Making Processes: On Phases, Streams and Decision-Making Rounds. *Public Administration*. 78, 4 (2000), 937–956.

[251]

Tiernan, A. and Burke, T. 2002. A Load of Old Garbage: Applying Garbage-Can Theory to Contemporary Housing Policy. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*. 61, 3 (2002), 86–97.

[252]

Turnpenny, J. et al. 2009. The policy and politics of policy appraisal: emerging trends and new directions. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 16, 4 (2009), 640–653.

[253]

Walker, R. 2000. Learning if policy will work: the case of New Deal for disabled people. *Policy Studies*. 21, 4 (2000), 313–332.

[254]

Web of Science:

[https://apps.webofknowledge.com/WOS\\_GeneralSearch\\_input.do?product=WOS&search\\_mode=GeneralSearch&SID=E3yLlsZ8g1WokXQ7aqs&preferencesSaved=.](https://apps.webofknowledge.com/WOS_GeneralSearch_input.do?product=WOS&search_mode=GeneralSearch&SID=E3yLlsZ8g1WokXQ7aqs&preferencesSaved=)

[255]

Weible, C.M. et al. 2009. Themes and Variations: Taking Stock of the Advocacy Coalition Framework. *Policy Studies Journal*. 37, 1 (2009), 121–140.

[256]

Weible, C.M. and Sabatier (eds), P.A. 2018. *Theories of the Policy Process*. Routledge.

[257]

Weible, C.M. and Sabatier, P.A. eds. 2018. *Theories of the Policy Process*. Routledge.

[258]

Weimer, D.L. and Vining, A.R. 1999. Chapter 2: What is policy analysis? *Policy Analysis: Concepts and Practice*. Prentice Hall. 27–42.

[259]

Weiss, C.H. 1972. *Evaluation Research: Methods for Assessing Program Effectiveness*. Prentice-Hall.

[260]

Weiss, C.H. 1997. How can theory-based evaluation make greater headway? *Evaluation Review*. 21, 4 (1997), 501–524.

[261]

Weiss, C.H. 1979. The many meanings of research utilization. *Public Administration Review* . 39, 5 (1979), 426–431.

[262]

Weiss, C.H. 1993. Where politics and evaluation research meet. *Evaluation Practice*. 14, 1 (1993), 93–106.

[263]

Wheeler, M. 2013. *Celebrity Politics*. Polity.

[264]

Williams, N. 2000. Modernising Government: Policy Networks, Competition and the Quest for Efficiency. *The Political Quarterly*. 71, 4 (2000), 412–421.

[265]

Wise, C.R. and O'Leary, R. 2003. Breaking up is Hard to Do: The Dissolution of Judicial Supervision of Public Services. *Public Administration Review*. 63, 2 (2003), 177–191.

[266]

Wolfinger, R.E. 1971. 'Nondecisions and the Study of Local Politics' [in] *The American Political Science Review*. *The American Political Science Review*. 65, 4 (1971), 1063–1080.

[267]

Wolman, H. 1992. Understanding Cross National Policy Transfers: The Case of Britain and the US. *Governance*. 5, 1 (1992), 27–45.

[268]

Yanovitzky, I. 2002. 'Effects of News Coverage on Policy Attention and Actions: A Closer Look Into the Media-Policy Connection' [in] *Communication Research*. *Communication Research*. 29, 4 (2002), 422–451.

[269]

Young, K. et al. 2002. Social science and the evidence-based policy movement. *Social Policy and Society*. 1, 03 (2002).

[270]

Zito, A.R. 2001. Epistemic communities, collective entrepreneurship and European integration. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 8, 4 (2001), 585–603.