

POL2098

What is law? Jurisprudence from stone tablets to brain imaging

View Online



-
1.
Politics and International Relations - LibGuides at University of Exeter,
<http://libguides.exeter.ac.uk/PoliticsHomePage>.
 2.
Hart, H.L.A.: *The Concept of Law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2012).
 3.
Dworkin, R.: *Law's Empire*. Hart, Oxford (1998).
 4.
Fuller, L.L.: 'The Case of the Speluncean Explorers' [in] *Harvard Law Review*. *Harvard Law Review*. 62, 616-645 (1949).
 5.
King, M.L.: Letter from a Birmingham Jail,
http://www.africa.upenn.edu/Articles_Gen/Letter_Birmingham.html.
 6.
Exodus 19-24, <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Exodus+19-24>.

7.

King, L.W.: The Code of Hammurabi, <http://avalon.law.yale.edu/ancient/hamframe.asp>.

8.

Chan, W.: 'The Natural Way of Lao Tzu' [in] A Source Book in Chinese Philosophy. In: A Source Book in Chinese Philosophy. pp. 136–151. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1963).

9.

Hoff, B.: The Tao of Pooh: The Principles of Taoism Demonstrated by Winnie-the-Pooh. Egmont (2015).

10.

Weinrib, E.J.: 'Legal Formalism: On the Immanent Rationality of Law' [in] Yale Law Journal. Yale Law Journal. 97, (1988).

11.

Llewellyn, K.N.: 'A Realistic Jurisprudence: The Next Step' [in] Columbia Law Review. Columbia Law Review. 30, 431–465 (1930).

12.

Hart, H.L.A.: Chapter 1: 'Persistent Questions' [in] The Concept of Law. In: The Concept of Law. pp. 1–17. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2012).

13.

Hart, H.L.A.: Chapter V: 'Law as the Union of Primary and Secondary Roles' [in] The Concept of Law. In: The Concept of Law. pp. 79–99. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2012).

14.

Hart, H.L.A.: Chapter VI: 'The Foundations of a Legal System' [in] The Concept of Law. In: The Concept of Law. pp. 100–123. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2012).

15.

Hart, H.L.A.: Chapter VII: 'Formalism and Rule-Scepticism' [in] The Concept of Law. In: The Concept of Law. pp. 124–154. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2012).

16.

Dworkin, R.: Chapter 1: 'What is Law?' [in] Law's Empire. In: Law's Empire. pp. 1–44. Hart, Oxford (1998).

17.

Dworkin, R.: Chapter 2: 'Interpretive Concepts' [in] Law's Empire. In: Law's Empire. pp. 45–86. Hart, Oxford (1998).

18.

Dworkin, R.: Chapter 3: 'Jurisprudence Revisited' [in] Law's Empire. In: Law's Empire. pp. 87–113. Hart, Oxford (1998).

19.

Dworkin, R.: Chapter 4: 'Conventionalism' [in] Law's Empire. In: Law's Empire. pp. 114–150. Hart, Oxford (1998).

20.

Hart, H.L.A.: 'Postscript' [in] The Concept of Law. In: The Concept of Law. pp. 238–276. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2012).

21.

Dennett, D.C.: Chapter 1: 'Natural Freedom' [in] Freedom Evolves. In: Freedom Evolves. pp. 1–23. Penguin books, London (2004).

22.

Dennett, D.D.: Chapter 2: 'A Tool for Thinking about Determinism' [in] Freedom Evolves. In: Freedom Evolves. pp. 25–62. Penguin books, London (2004).

23.

Rosen, J.: 'The Brain on the Stand: How Neuroscience is Transforming the Legal System' [in] New York Times. New York Times (1923-Current file). (2007).

24.

Kelkar, K.: 'Can a Brain Scan Uncover your Morals?' [in] The Guardian. (2016).

25.

Gazzaniga, M.S., Steven, M.S.: 'Free Will in the Twenty-First Century' [in] Neuroscience and the Law: Brain, Mind, and the Scales of Justice. In: Neuroscience and the Law: Brain, Mind, and the Scales of Justice. pp. 51–70. Dana Press, New York (2004).

26.

Fugelsang, J., Dunbar, K.: 'A Cognitive Neuroscience Framework for Understanding Causal Reasoning and the Law' [in] Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 359, 1749–1754 (2004).

27.

Vilares, I., Wesley, M.J., Ahn, W.-Y., Bonnie, R.J., Hoffman, M., Jones, O.D., Morse, S.J., Yaffe, G., Lohrenz, T., Montague, P.R.: 'Predicting the Knowledge–Recklessness Distinction in the Human Brain' [in] Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 114, 3222–3227 (2017).

28.

Hoffman, M.B.: 'The Neuroeconomic Path of the Law' [in] Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:

Biological Sciences. 359, 1667–1676 (2004).

29.

Aharoni, E., Vincent, G.M., Harenski, C.L., Calhoun, V.D., Sinnott-Armstrong, W., Gazzaniga, M.S., Kiehl, K.A.: 'Neuroprediction of Future Rearrest' [in] Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 110, 6223–6228 (2013).

30.

Jones, O.D.: 'Law and Neuroscience' [in] Journal of Neuroscience. Journal of Neuroscience. 33, 17624–17630 (2013).

31.

Churchland, P.S.: 'Reviewed Work: The Ethical Brain by Michael S. Gazzaniga' [in] American Scientist. American Scientist. 93, 356–359 (2005).

32.

Churchland, P.S.: 'Moral Decision-Making and the Brain' [in] Neuroethics. In: Neuroethics. pp. 3–16. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004).

33.

Goodenough, O.R., Prehn, K.: 'A Neuroscientific Approach to Normative Judgment in Law and Justice' [in] Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences. Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences. 359, 1709–1726 (2004).

34.

Goodenough, O.R.: 'Responsibility and Punishment: Whose Mind? A Response' [in] Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences. Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences. 359, 1805–1809 (2004).

35.

Greene, J., Cohen, J.: 'For the Law, Neuroscience Changes Nothing and Everything' [in] *Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences*. *Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences*. 359, 1775–1785 (2004).

36.

Morse, S.J.: 'New Neuroscience, Old Problems: Legal Implications of Brain Science' [in] *Cerebrum*. (2004).