

POL2098

What is law? Jurisprudence from stone tablets to brain imaging

View Online



[1]

Aharoni, E. et al. 2013. 'Neuroprediction of Future Rearrest' [in] Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 110, 15 (2013), 6223-6228.

[2]

Chan, W. 1963. 'The Natural Way of Lao Tzu' [in] A Source Book in Chinese Philosophy. A Source Book in Chinese Philosophy. Princeton University Press. 136-151.

[3]

Churchland, P.S. 2004. 'Moral Decision-Making and the Brain' [in] Neuroethics. Neuroethics . Oxford University Press. 3-16.

[4]

Churchland, P.S. 2005. 'Reviewed Work: The Ethical Brain by Michael S. Gazzaniga' [in] American Scientist. American Scientist. 93, 4 (2005), 356-359.

[5]

Dennett, D.C. 2004. Chapter 1: 'Natural Freedom' [in] Freedom Evolves. Freedom Evolves. Penguin books. 1-23.

[6]

Dennett, D.D. 2004. Chapter 2: 'A Tool for Thinking about Determinism' [in] Freedom

Evolves. Freedom Evolves. Penguin books. 25–62.

[7]

Dworkin, R. 1998. Chapter 1: 'What is Law?' [in] Law's Empire. Law's Empire. Hart. 1–44.

[8]

Dworkin, R. 1998. Chapter 2: 'Interpretive Concepts' [in] Law's Empire. Law's Empire. Hart. 45–86.

[9]

Dworkin, R. 1998. Chapter 3: 'Jurisprudence Revisited' [in] Law's Empire. Law's Empire. Hart. 87–113.

[10]

Dworkin, R. 1998. Chapter 4: 'Conventionalism' [in] Law's Empire. Law's Empire. Hart. 114–150.

[11]

Dworkin, R. 1998. Law's Empire. Hart.

[12]

Exodus 19-24: <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Exodus+19-24>.

[13]

Fugelsang, J. and Dunbar, K. 2004. 'A Cognitive Neuroscience Framework for Understanding Causal Reasoning and the Law' [in] Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 359, 1451 (2004), 1749–1754.

[14]

Fuller, L.L. 1949. 'The Case of the Speluncean Explorers' [in] Harvard Law Review. Harvard Law Review. 62, 4 (1949), 616–645.

[15]

Gazzaniga, M.S. and Steven, M.S. 2004. 'Free Will in the Twenty-First Century' [in] Neuroscience and the Law: Brain, Mind, and the Scales of Justice. Neuroscience and the Law: Brain, Mind, and the Scales of Justice. Dana Press. 51–70.

[16]

Goodenough, O.R. 2004. 'Responsibility and Punishment: Whose Mind? A Response' [in] Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences. Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences. 359, 1451 (2004), 1805–1809.

[17]

Goodenough, O.R. and Prehn, K. 2004. 'A Neuroscientific Approach to Normative Judgment in Law and Justice' [in] Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences. Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences. 359, 1451 (2004), 1709–1726.

[18]

Greene, J. and Cohen, J. 2004. 'For the Law, Neuroscience Changes Nothing and Everything' [in] Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences. Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences. 359, 1451 (2004), 1775–1785.

[19]

Hart, H.L.A. 2012. Chapter 1: 'Persistent Questions' [in] The Concept of Law. The Concept of Law. Oxford University Press. 1–17.

[20]

Hart, H.L.A. 2012. Chapter V: 'Law as the Union of Primary and Secondary Roles' [in] The Concept of Law. The Concept of Law. Oxford University Press. 79–99.

[21]

Hart, H.L.A. 2012. Chapter VI: 'The Foundations of a Legal System' [in] The Concept of Law. The Concept of Law. Oxford University Press. 100–123.

[22]

Hart, H.L.A. 2012. Chapter VII: 'Formalism and Rule-Scepticism' [in] The Concept of Law. The Concept of Law. Oxford University Press. 124–154.

[23]

Hart, H.L.A. 2012. 'Postscript' [in] The Concept of Law. The Concept of Law. Oxford University Press. 238–276.

[24]

Hart, H.L.A. 2012. The Concept of Law. Oxford University Press.

[25]

Hoff, B. 2015. The Tao of Pooh: The Principles of Taoism Demonstrated by Winnie-the-Pooh . Egmont.

[26]

Hoffman, M.B. 2004. 'The Neuroeconomic Path of the Law' [in] Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 359, 1451 (2004), 1667–1676.

[27]

Jones, O.D. 2013. 'Law and Neuroscience' [in] Journal of Neuroscience. Journal of Neuroscience. 33, 45 (2013), 17624–17630.

[28]

Kelkar, K. 2016. 'Can a Brain Scan Uncover your Morals?' [in] The Guardian. (2016).

[29]

King, L.W. The Code of Hammurabi.

[30]

Letter from a Birmingham Jail: 1963.

http://www.africa.upenn.edu/Articles_Gen/Letter_Birmingham.html.

[31]

Llewellyn, K.N. 1930. 'A Realistic Jurisprudence: The Next Step' [in] Columbia Law Review. Columbia Law Review. 30, 4 (1930), 431-465.

[32]

Morse, S.J. 2004. 'New Neuroscience, Old Problems: Legal Implications of Brain Science' [in] Cerebrum. (2004).

[33]

Politics and International Relations - LibGuides at University of Exeter:

<http://libguides.exeter.ac.uk/PoliticsHomePage>.

[34]

Rosen, J. 2007. 'The Brain on the Stand: How Neuroscience is Transforming the Legal System' [in] New York Times. New York Times (1923-Current file). (2007).

[35]

Vilares, I. et al. 2017. 'Predicting the Knowledge-Recklessness Distinction in the Human Brain' [in] Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 114, 12 (2017), 3222-3227.

[36]

Weinrib, E.J. 1988. 'Legal Formalism: On the Immanent Rationality of Law' [in] Yale Law Journal. Yale Law Journal. 97, 6 (1988).